

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

	Diates Fatelli and Frademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/160,965	12/02/1993	JAMES M. MUSSER	06239007001	2506
26271	7590 12/03/2003		EXAMI	NER
FULBRIGH 1301 MCKIN	T & JAWORSKI, LLP			
SUITE 5100	NE I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	T, TX 77010-3095		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	6/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c) in Ex Parte Reexamination

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Control No.	Pat nt Under Re xamination
08/160,965	MUSSER ET AL.
Examin r	Art Unit
Ja-Na Hines	1645

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>23 September 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

Patent owner is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE MONTH from the mailing date of this letter or any time remaining in the period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) (whichever is longer) for filing a new complete brief. If a new complete brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the appeal will be dismissed as of the expiration of the period for reply to this Notification. Extensions of this one (1) month time period may be obtained only under 37 CFR 1.550(c). The new complete brief must be filed IN TRIPLICATE \*. See 37 CFR 1.192(a).

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.	$\boxtimes$	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
<b>7</b> .		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		The grouping of the claims is improper. The brief omits arguments in support of whether the claims stand or fall together. The brief fails to state reasons why the claims are separately patentable if the claims do not stand together. See also MPEP 1206.

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

<sup>\*</sup> If this is a merged proceeding, one copy must be added to the base three copies for each reexamination in addition to the first reexamination.